



ISSN: 1946-1836

Journal of Information Systems Applied Research

Volume 3, Number 17

<http://jisar.org/3/17/>

June 14, 2010

In this issue:

An Exploratory Study of Relationships between Workforce Characteristics and the Use of Collaboration and Social Networking Tools in a Global Technology Firm

Robert L. Totterdale

Totterdale Business Consulting, LLC
Estero, FL 33928 USA

Abstract: In today's complex global business environment, organizations are made up of diverse workforces (Boomers, Gen X, and Gen Y) that include both management and non-management personnel. Within these same organizations, a multitude of communications, collaboration, and social networking tools have been implemented to support operational effectiveness. Using survey research of over 300 U.S. based professionals in a global technology services firm, this study explores whether relationships exist between an individual's job role, gender, age group, project and their use of collaboration and social networking technologies. Additionally, the research examines whether individual attitudes toward the use of these technologies is influenced by these same factors. Statistically significant relationships between age and project, and the use of collaboration and social networking tools were found, while job role and age showed relationships with collaboration tool use and email usage. No significant relationships were found for gender.

Keywords: collaboration, social networking, Information Technology, SharePoint, Gen Y, Gen X, management

Recommended Citation: Totterdale (2010). An Exploratory Study of Relationships between Workforce Characteristics and the Use of Collaboration and Social Networking Tools in a Global Technology Firm. *Journal of Information Systems Applied Research*, 3 (17). <http://jisar.org/3/17/>. ISSN: 1946-1836. (A preliminary version appears in *The Proceedings of CONISAR 2009*: §3362. ISSN: 0000-0000.)

This issue is on the Internet at <http://jisar.org/3/17/>

The **Journal of Information Systems Applied Research** (JISAR) is a peer-reviewed academic journal published by the Education Special Interest Group (EDSIG) of the Association of Information Technology Professionals (AITP, Chicago, Illinois). • ISSN: 1946-1836. • First issue: 1 Dec 2008. • Title: Journal of Information Systems Applied Research. Variants: JISAR. • Physical format: online. • Publishing frequency: irregular; as each article is approved, it is published immediately and constitutes a complete separate issue of the current volume. • Single issue price: free. • Subscription address: subscribe@jisar.org. • Subscription price: free. • Electronic access: <http://jisar.org/> • Contact person: Don Colton (editor@jisar.org)

2010 AITP Education Special Interest Group Board of Directors

Don Colton Brigham Young Univ Hawaii EDSIG President 2007-2008	Thomas N. Janicki Univ NC Wilmington EDSIG President 2009-2010	Alan R. Peslak Penn State Vice President 2010	
Scott Hunsinger Appalachian State Membership 2010	Michael A. Smith High Point Univ Secretary 2010	Brenda McAleer U Maine Augusta Treasurer 2010	George S. Nezelek Grand Valley State Director 2009-2010
Patricia Sendall Merrimack College Director 2009-2010	Li-Jen Shannon Sam Houston State Director 2009-2010	Michael Battig St Michael's College Director 2010-2011	Mary Lind North Carolina A&T Director 2010-2011
Albert L. Harris Appalachian St JISE Editor ret.	S. E. Kruck James Madison U JISE Editor	Wendy Ceccucci Quinnipiac University Conferences Chair 2010	Kevin Jetton Texas State FITE Liaison 2010

Journal of Information Systems Applied Research Editors

Don Colton Professor BYU Hawaii Editor	Thomas N. Janicki Associate Professor Univ NC Wilmington Associate Editor	Alan R. Peslak Associate Professor Penn State Univ Associate Editor	Scott Hunsinger Assistant Professor Appalachian State Associate Editor
---	--	--	---

2009-2010 JISAR Editorial and Review Board

Alan Abrahams, Virginia Tech	Mary Lind, NC A&T University	Li-Jen Shannon, Sam Houston St
Ronald Babin, Ryerson Univ	Fortune Mhlanga, Abilene Christian	Michael Smith, High Point Univ
Eric Breimer, Siena College	Monica Parzinger, St Mary's Univ	Karthikeyan Umamathy, U N Florida
Gerald DeHondt II, Grand Valley	Don Petkov, E Conn State Univ	Bruce White, Quinnipiac University
Mark Jones, Lock Haven Univ	Steve Reames, American Univ BIH	Charles Woratschek, Robert Morris
Terri Lenox, Westminster Col	Sam Sambasivam, Azusa Pacific U	Peter Y. Wu, Robert Morris Univ

This paper was in the 2009 cohort from which the top 45% were accepted for journal publication. Acceptance is competitive based on at least three double-blind peer reviews plus additional single-blind reviews by the review board and editors to assess final manuscript quality including the importance of what was said and the clarity of presentation.

© Copyright 2010 EDSIG. In the spirit of academic freedom, permission is granted to make and distribute unlimited copies of this issue in its PDF or printed form, so long as the entire document is presented, and it is not modified in any substantial way.

An Exploratory Study of Relationships between Workforce Characteristics and the Use of Collaboration and Social Networking Tools in a Global Technology Firm

Robert L. Totterdale
rtotterdale@tbconsulting.biz
Totterdale Business Consulting, LLC
Estero, Florida 33928 USA

Abstract

In today's complex global business environment, organizations are made up of diverse workforces (Boomers, Gen X, and Gen Y) that include both management and non-management personnel. Within these same organizations, a multitude of communications, collaboration, and social networking tools have been implemented to support operational effectiveness. Using survey research of over 300 U.S. based professionals in a global technology services firm, this study explores whether relationships exist between an individual's job role, gender, age group, project and their use of collaboration and social networking technologies. Additionally, the research examines whether individual attitudes toward the use of these technologies is influenced by these same factors. Statistically significant relationships between age and project, and the use of collaboration and social networking tools were found, while job role and age showed relationships with collaboration tool use and email usage. No significant relationships were found for gender.

Keywords: collaboration, social networking, Information Technology, SharePoint, Gen Y, Gen X, management

1. INTRODUCTION

For large technology service providers, communications and collaboration, both internally and externally, are vital for competition in a global marketplace. With diverse workforces that include individuals from multiple cultural backgrounds, projects, generations (Gen X, Gen Y, and Boomers), and job roles, companies are challenged to fully implement technologies and approaches that enhance the effectiveness of their teams. Also, with over 90% of content now estimated to be available in electronic form (Ingram, 2005), companies struggle to manage the related electronic content. In response to increasing business needs, organizations have implemented technologies such as SharePoint, Outlook, wikis, blogs, shared folders, and interactive messaging to improve their operations, meet compliance

requirements, and enhance the sharing of knowledge.

With increasing workforce virtualization and the diversity of job roles and age groups in companies today, the implementation of communications and collaboration technologies in multiple industries has been beneficial; but problems remain with adoption and understanding. This study examines the adoption of collaboration and social networking technologies by 306 participants in a global professional services firm, and assesses whether technology usage and attitudes toward the technology are related to age group, gender, project, and job role. Gaining an understanding of the technologies in use by the company can provide insights for improving adoption, for targeting training or communications for specific groups, and for identifying areas for further research.

Four research questions addressed by this study include:

R1. What major collaboration tools are used by the organization and what is the frequency of their use?

R2. How often are wikis, blogs and MySpace used by the IT professionals?

R3. Do relationships exist between age group, gender, project, and job role, and the actual usage of collaboration and social networking technologies?

R4. Do attitudes toward collaboration tool usage vary based on age group, gender, project, and job role?

2. ORGANIZATION BACKGROUND

The organization involved with the study provides technology and business services to companies in multiple countries. The research focused on 306 participants from three project teams that had operating locations in multiple geographies both within the U.S and globally. Only the U.S. based participants were included in the research. The organization had a strong culture, and had adopted SharePoint technology for collaboration for each of the teams in the study. SharePoint was being used to replace Lotus Notes as a primary collaboration tool. A number of additional collaboration technologies were in use and available to personnel in the company; including Microsoft Outlook (email), Interactive Messaging, shared folders, and a knowledge management system. Wiki and blog technologies were also made available to employees; however the company did not encourage or mandate their use. Each project had both management and non-management related job roles and had personnel from each of the age groups included in the study (i.e. Gen X, Gen Y, and Boomers). A summary of survey participants is provided in Table 1.

3. LITERATURE REVIEW

Social networking and collaboration technologies have been implemented in a number of organizations on a global basis to improve knowledge management capabilities, to enhance operational effectiveness, and to meet compliance needs. A working definition of these technologies and findings relating to their use are provided in this section. In ad-

dition, characteristics of workforces such as age groups, project assignments, gender, and job role are discussed to provide a context for the analysis and interpretation of results found later in this paper.

	Count	% of Total
Age Group	306	
Gen Y	122	40%
Gen X	139	45%
Boomers	45	15%
Gender	306	
Male	196	64%
Female	110	36%
Project	306	
Proj 1	103	34%
Proj 2	93	30%
Proj 3	110	36%
Role	304	
Management	126	41%
Non-Mgmt	178	59%

Table 1 Participant Summary

Social Networking

Multiple and varied definitions exist to describe "people to people" communications and collaboration using Web based technologies. Some suggest the term social networking, while others use the term Enterprise 2.0. This term is offered by the Association for Information and Image Management (Frappaolo and Keldsen, 2008), that defines it as "a system of Web-based technologies that provide rapid and agile collaboration, information sharing, emergence, and integration capabilities in the extended enterprise" (p. 11). This definition is related to the application of the social networking technologies in a business environment.

Wikis and blogs are typically cited as examples of technologies that are used to facilitate communications and collaboration either in a corporate or personal environment (Carlin, Lee and Lemons, 2008). Hasan and Pfaff (2006) define a wiki as "a web-based appli-

cation that allows many participants to write collaboratively, where they can continue to add or edit the content of documents and dynamically determine relationships between sets of documents" (p. 41). In contrast, a blog is defined by Agarwal and Liu (2008) as "a website that displays, in reverse chronological order, the entries by one or more individuals, and usually has links to comments on specific postings" (p. 18). Both wikis and blogs are used in businesses and are also widely used in the consumer marketplace (Gibson, 2006; Carlin, Lee and Lemons, 2008). MySpace is another social networking technology that has been used extensively by individuals for sharing both personal and business information (Lenhart, 2009). Wikis, blogs, and MySpace have all been deployed on a global basis with millions of users (Hsu and Lin, 2008; Lenhart, 2009).

Research from Gareiss (2008) suggests that wiki use is higher for companies that have tele-working policies (57% versus 44% for those without a policy) and that blog usage is higher as well (41% versus 39% for those without a policy). Lastly, a Snyovate Marketing Survey (Anonymous, 2007) found that awareness and usage of blogs strongly correlates with age, and that younger people are more active bloggers.

Recent research by the PEW Internet & American Life Project (Lenhart, 2009) has found that one third (35%) of American adult Internet users has a profile on a social networking site such as MySpace. Further, the findings show that younger adults have higher usage rates than older adults.

Collaboration

Organizations use a number of technologies to support knowledge management, communications and collaboration for project related work. Major providers of these technologies include Microsoft with their Outlook product, IBM, Oracle, SAP, Interwoven, and Opentext (Totterdale, 2008). These products have been deployed in many industries to integrate and manage electronic content in many forms including documents, images, voice, email, and video.

Prior research, however, has found issues with the effective management of electronic content and with the full adoption of the tools to meet compliance needs (e.g. records management) (Jones and Teevan, 2007;

Jones, 2008). Culture, technology limitations, concerns regarding security, legal constraints, perceived usefulness, and ease of use have all been identified as factors that can influence adoption (Davenport and Prusak, 1998; Jones, 2008). Another factor facing organizations is the sheer volume of electronic content that must be categorized and managed to meet collaboration and communication needs. In one study, individuals reported receiving over 400 emails per day that had to be processed and filed (Totterdale, 2008).

Workforce Characteristics

In addition to understanding collaborative technologies and their use, this study also examines the diversity of workforce members, including Gen Ys, Gen Xs and Boomers. Both gender and characteristics of these age groups have been evaluated frequently in the literature and are discussed in summary form below.

With respect to Gen Y or the Millennials, this "cohort" is generally recognized to have been born in the late 1970's and is often referred to as the "digital natives" (Prensky, 2006) because they are technologically savvy and have grown up with technology (Yeaton, 2008, p. 69). Millennials are also known for their ability to multitask at work using various technologies (Wesner and Miller, 2008), have developed group skills, and are adept at collaboration (Yeaton, 2008; Hewlett, Sherbin and Sumberg, 2009). Gen Ys also have been found to use the latest social networking tools to connect with others in the workplace (Hewlett, Sherbin and Sumberg, 2009).

In contrast to Gen Ys, Gen Xs (early 1960's through late 1970's) consider "competence, on-going learning, informality and feedback as important" (Mullan, 2008, p. 17). Additionally, a research report from Catalyst in 2005 found that 78% of Gen X respondents viewed flexibility in the workplace as important and that many desired to have flexible work arrangements (Anonymous, 2005). Auby (2008) argues that there are many differences between Gen X and Gen Y in the workplace and suggests the need to limit in-person meetings while encouraging alternative technologies for collaboration.

Lastly, recent research suggests that the Boomer generation, born prior to the early

1960's, "reveal remarkable similarities in workplace preferences" with the Gen Y cohort (Hewlett, Sherbin and Sumber, 2009, p. 72). Collaboration, flexible work arrangements, and access to new experiences and challenges have all been cited as characteristics common to the two groups. Boomers, like GenYs, have also been exposed to rapidly changing technologies during their lifetimes which may influence their attitudes on technology adoption (Wesner and Miller, 2008).

In addition to the generational differences listed above, studies have considered the influence of *gender* on the use of social networking tools, as well as user attitudes that may influence technology adoption. Research conducted by the PEW Internet & American Life Project (Lenhart, 2009) found that gender was not related to the use of social networking solutions. Also, as part of their research involving the technology acceptance model (TAM), Venkatesh and Morris (2000) found that men's technology usage decisions were more strongly influenced by their perceptions of usefulness, while women's were more strongly influenced by ease of use.

4. RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

This paper was based on survey data obtained from a global professional services firm during a two week period in October and November of 2008. The objective of the study was to investigate if relationships existed between age group (i.e. Gen Y, Gen X, and Boomers), gender, project, job role (non-management vs. management), and the use of collaboration and social networking tools. In addition, the research was intended to determine if attitudes toward the use of collaboration technologies such as SharePoint, Lotus Notes, Clearcase / Clearquest, Interactive Messaging, Shared Folders and Email were also related to these same factors.

An established research strategy, surveys were used for data collection (Babbie, 2007). Given the geographic dispersion throughout the U.S of the individuals participating in the research, and the need to capture information both quickly and economically, the survey provided a mechanism for identifying the attributes of a large population from a smaller group (Babbie, 2007).

The survey was cross sectional; where the data was collected at one point in time and was administered on-line through Zoomerang, an Internet survey tool.

Management of the professional services firm used in the study provided access to 1,985 participants from a population that exceeded 100,000. Since the email addresses of the participants were known, a single stage sampling procedure was used and 100% of the population was sent the link to the electronic survey instrument. The survey tool captured 785 responses, which reflected a 40% response rate for the research.

Although 1,985 individuals from six project teams responded to the survey, a convenience sample of 306 participants located in the U.S. was selected from this group to include only those personnel who were assigned to one of three projects that utilized collaboration tools. This subset of data was selected to eliminate any variability that might arise from geographic and cultural differences from non-U.S. participant responses.

The survey instrument was constructed in a three step process. In the first step, focus group interviews were conducted to gather information about the different technologies used to support collaboration in the organization, and to gain an understanding of participant attitudes about their usage of the technology. From the interviews, the primary technologies identified were SharePoint, Outlook, Interactive Messaging (IM), Lotus Notes, ClearCase, and shared folders. Although other technologies were in use, these were believed to be the most frequently used and were therefore included in the survey in questions relating to tool usage. With respect to social networking technologies, the researcher elected to include survey options of wikis, blogs, and MySpace since they have been acknowledged by others as being widely used in the consumer marketplace (Lenhart, 2009). With respect to attitudes, participants were asked their level of agreement on the statements shown in Figures 1 and 2 relating to perceived usefulness and ease of use. These statements were adapted from a survey instrument used by Bhattacharjee and Sanford (2006) and were similar to others used by Venkatesh, Morris, Davis and Davis (2003).

Perceived Usefulness
Relating to my role in the organization, collaboration tools:
1. Increase my productivity
2. Improve my performance
3. Make me more effective
4. Are useful in my job

Figure 1 Attitude Statements

Ease of Use
The collaboration tools that I use make it easy for me to :
1. Quickly file/categorize content
2. Find/retrieve content that I entered
3. Find/retrieve content that others have entered

Figure 2 Attitude Statements

After defining the collaboration tools in use, the second step in the development of the survey instrument was to define the response categories or scales to gather usage information from the participants. For tool usage, a five point scale consisting of "Not at All," "Seldom," "Occasionally," "Often" and "Frequently" was used to collect frequency information. Age groupings used in the survey included <26, 26-30, 31-36, 37-47, and 48 or more. Attitudes were measured using a Likert type scale of "Disagree, "Slightly Disagree", "Neutral", "Slightly Agree", and "Agree." Regarding the volume of email participants received on a daily basis, averages of <20, 21-50, 51-100, 101-200, and over 200 were listed as possible responses.

The last step in preparing the instrument was to test it with seven individuals from the focus groups to insure that the questions were understood, and that the responses were consistent with focus group feedback. After making minor wording changes to the instrument as a result of participant feedback, the results were downloaded from Zoomerang and uploaded into SPSS to validate the data transfer.

After the survey instrument was finalized, a senior executive from each of the groups sent a request to all of their team members inviting them to participate in the research. A brief introduction to the research indicated

that their involvement was voluntary. To improve the response rates to the survey, each executive also sent a follow-up email encouraging participation approximately one week after the initial survey invitation was sent.

Four independent variables (age group, gender, project, and job role) were evaluated separately against the dependent variables (collaboration technologies, social networking technologies, attitudes) in the analysis. Recoding of the variables was performed as shown in Figure 3 to facilitate the analysis of the data.

Variable	Original Response	Recoded Response
Age Group	< 26	Gen Y
	26-30	Gen Y
	31-36	Gen X
	37-47	Gen X
	>=48	Boomer
Job Role	Analyst	Non-Mgmt
	Programmer	Non-Mgmt
	Consultant	Non-Mgmt
	Specialist	Non-Mgmt
	Leader	Non-Mgmt
	Manager	Mgmt
Frequency of Use	Senior Mgr	Mgmt
	Executive	Mgmt
	Not At All	Not At All
	Seldom	Infrequently
	Occasionally	Infrequently
Attitude Scale	Often	Frequently
	Frequently	Frequently
Attitude Scale	Disagree	Disagree
	Slightly Disagree	Disagree
	Neutral	Neutral
	Slightly Agree	Agree
	Agree	Agree

Figure 3 Recoding of Variables

Upon closing the survey process, survey feedback was downloaded from Zoomerang and uploaded into SPSS for reporting and analysis. SPSS (V.15) was used to generate frequency distributions for the survey responses, and was also used to perform chi-square calculations to determine if statistical relationships existed between tool usage, attitudes, and key demographic variables.

Reliability analysis performed on the perceived usefulness (PU) and ease of use (EOU) scales demonstrated Chronbach's Alpha values of .894 (PU) and .885 (EOU) respectively. A composite reliability exceeding .70 is considered acceptable (Nunnally, 1978).

5. RESULTS

From the 306 participant responses, the survey data indicated that there were 45 Boomers (15%), 139 Gen Xs (45%) and 122 Gen Y (40%). Additionally, 59% or 178 respondents were categorized as non-management, while 41% or 126 were considered as management personnel for analysis purposes. Two respondents (.7%) were unable to be categorized regarding their management status since they reported job categories not recognized by the researcher.

R1 Collaboration Tool Use

For each of the research questions, a summary of the key findings are summarized below. The first research question investigated the type and frequency of use for the major collaboration tools used by the three projects. The results from the survey, as shown in Table 2, indicated that SharePoint, shared folders, and interactive messaging (IM) were the three most frequently utilized collaboration tools (i.e. they had the lowest number of respondents indicating that they did not use the tool at all).

Usage of another collaboration tool, Microsoft Outlook, was assessed by examining the volume of email processed by individuals. Findings indicated that 39% (120) of the respondents received less than 50 emails a day, 27.5% (84) received between 51 and 100 emails a day, while 14.7% (45) received over 100 emails per day.

Collaboration Tool	n=306	Frequently	Infrequently	Not At All
Sharepoint	% Usage	30	51	19
Knowledge Mgmt	% Usage	12	65	24
Clearcase	% Usage	10	19	71
Lotus Notes	% Usage	39	12	49
Shared Folders	% Usage	54	30	16
Interactive Messaging	% Usage	78	15	7

Table 2 Collaboration Tool Usage

R2 Social Networking Tool Use

In addition to determining the usage of internal collaboration tools in R1, the second research question (R2) investigated the usage of social networking tools by professionals in the organization. Individuals using these tools could have used them personally or within the organization. Findings from the survey are summarized in Table 3. Of the three social networking tools, wikis and blogs were used by 38% of the respondents, while MySpace was used by 22% of the respondents.

Social Networking Tool	n=306	Frequently	Infrequently	Not At All	Usage
Wikis	% Usage	11	27	62	38
Blogs	% Usage	8	30	62	38
Myspace	% Usage	5	17	78	22

Table 3 Social Networking Tool Usage

R3 Relationships between Workforce Characteristics and Tool Usage

The next step in the analysis process was to evaluate whether any statistically relevant relationships existed between the independent variables age group, gender, project, and job role, with the dependent variables "usage of collaboration tools" and "usage of social networking software". Each is addressed separately below.

With the exception of the knowledge management tool, Gen Ys demonstrated a higher level of usage than the other *age groups* for all of the collaboration tools. However, statistically significant relationships only existed for the knowledge management tool ($\chi^2=15.212$, $df=4$, $p=.004$), the ClearCase tool ($\chi^2=13.147$, $df=4$, $p=.011$) and Lotus Notes ($\chi^2=13.868$, $df=4$, $p=.008$). The

Boomers recorded the lowest usage on all tools except for Lotus Notes. Conversely, 53% of Gen X and 47% of Boomers received over 50 emails per day while only 27% of Gen Y received that many.

A significant statistical relationship also existed between age group and email volume ($\chi^2=25.812$, $df=8$, $p=.004$), with Gen X having the highest usage and the Boomers the second highest. With respect to the social networking tools, Gen Y had the highest usage of all of the groups, with the Boomers having the lowest usage. Additional details relating to social networking usage by age group is shown in Appendix A. Statistical relationships for the social networking tools and age groups are listed in Table 4.

Tool	χ^2	df	p
Wikis	15.921	4	0.003
Blogs	5.031	4	0.284
MySpace	14.188	4	0.007

Table 4 Social Networking Relationships

Although Gen Y respondents indicated a high level of usage of the collaboration and social networking tools, relationships between *job role and usage* were also found. With the exception of shared folders, management was more likely than non-management to use the collaboration tools. Conversely, management was less likely to use wikis and blogs than the non-management respondents, but more likely to use MySpace. The differences in usage between the groups were statistically significant for SharePoint ($\chi^2=11.694$, $df=2$, $p=.003$), knowledge management ($\chi^2=14.391$, $df=2$, $p=.001$), lotus notes ($\chi^2=6.039$, $df=2$, $p=.049$) and shared folders ($\chi^2=8.54$, $df=2$, $p=.014$). A summary of collaboration and social network usage by job role is shown in Appendix B. Finally, management had a significantly higher level of email usage (greater than 50 per day) than the non-management group (66% versus 26%).

In contrast to age groups and job role, no relationships were found between *gender* and the use of any of the tools. However, as might be expected, significant relationships did exist between a participant's *project assignment* and the use of certain collaboration tools. Notably, as seen in Appendix C, project 1 showed much lower levels of usage

for SharePoint and Lotus Notes than the other two projects.

Finally, although there was a significant relationship between MySpace and project assignment, no relationships existed for wiki and blog usage. Also, no relationships were found between project and email usage.

R4 Relationships Between Workforce Characteristics and Attitudes

The last area of investigation was to determine if any relationships existed between workforce characteristics (age group, gender, job role and project assignment) and attitudes toward the use of the collaboration tools.

With respect to age groups, Gen Y respondents agreed more often than Gen X and Boomer respondents about the usefulness of the tools and their ease of use. Although the Boomers indicated a lower level of agreement on usefulness than the Gen X group, they indicated a higher level of perceived ease of use. A summary of attitudes by age group is shown in Appendix A.

In contrast to the age group findings, *gender* was not found to be related to attitudes regarding perceived ease of use or perceived usefulness of the collaboration tools. Project assignment, however, did indicate a statistically significant relationship with perceived usefulness-productivity ($\chi^2=9.791$, $df=4$, $p=.044$) and with ease of use-find/retrieve content ($\chi^2=16.98$, $df=4$, $p=.009$). A summary of project related statistics is shown in Appendix C.

Perceived Usefulness	n=304	Non-Mgmt	Mgmt
Increase my productivity	% Agree	74	77
Improve my performance	% Agree	65	68
Make me more effective	% Agree	70	77
Are useful in my job	% Agree	80	83

Ease of Use	n=304	Non-Mgmt	Mgmt
Quickly file/categorize content	% Agree	62	63
Find retrieve content that I entered	% Agree	65	69
Find/retrieve content others entered	% Agree	63	67

Table 5 Job Role Responses

A final analysis was performed to assess whether relationships existed between job role and the participant's attitude toward perceived usefulness and ease of use of the collaboration tools. Although no statistically significant relationships were found, a higher

percentage of management respondents agreed that the tools were useful and that they were easy to use as shown in Table 5.

6. CONCLUSIONS

Through a survey of 306 U.S. based information technology professionals, this research study has quantified their usage of certain collaboration and social networking tools. Further, the study has found that significant relationships exist between the usage of these tools, attitudes about the tools, certain age groups, projects, and job roles. No relationships were found regarding gender. Table 6 provides a summary of the key relationships found in the research. Each box in the table indicates that a statistically significant relationship exists. The number seen in a box indicates that multiple relationships existed for a category. For example, the number 2 next to social networking represents the fact that the use of two tools, wikis and blogs, was related to age group.

	Age Group	Gender	Role	Project
Collaboration Tools	3		4	5
Social Networking	2			
Perceived Usefulness	x			
Ease of Use	x			
Email Volume				
x- approaching significance		Significant at p <.05		

Table 6 Relationship Summary

As was expected from the literature, Gen Ys, or the digital natives, had the highest percentage use of the three groups for all but one of the collaboration technologies in use (i.e. Lotus Notes) in the organization. Similarly, Gen Ys had significantly higher levels of usage of the three social networking tools identified in the research. These findings are consistent with research that suggested that Gen Ys are technology savvy and are collaborative by nature (Yeaton, 2008). In contrast to their usage of many of the collaboration tools, Gen Ys received significantly less email than the Gen X and Boomer participants, reflecting either differences in job roles or communication styles. In contrast to the Boomers and Gen Xs, Gen Y expressed attitudes that the tools were easier to use and were more useful to them than the other age groups. This may help explain higher usage levels by the Gen Y group. The one tool that was not used as frequently by the

Gen Ys was the lotus notes technology, which was an older technology in use at the company that was being phased out.

The relationships found between project and collaboration tool usage are likely a reflection of group practices that have been adopted in the organization. However, questions remain regarding the adoption of the technology in the company since in all cases; the tools were only used by a certain percentage of the respondents. In addition, the research found that email received is more directly related to age group and role than it is to project.

With respect to job role in the organization, management personnel were statistically more likely to use many of the collaboration tools, but had similar usage levels with non-management personnel for the social networking tools. Management’s broader usage of the collaboration tools, and a higher level of email activity, may reflect a greater need for communications by those in management roles. Since management and non-management respondents had similar perceptions of ease of use and tool usefulness, additional factors must be considered to explain differences in their usage.

Prior research from Gareiss (2008), found that in teleworking companies, 44% of the employees used wikis and 39% used blogs. These finding were confirmed in this study where both wiki and blog usage was 38%. Similar to Lenhart’s (2009) findings, this study also confirmed that younger individuals (Gen Y) were more likely than older individuals to use social networking tools at statistically significant levels.

In summary, the findings of this study serve to highlight differences in the use of collaboration tools, social networking tools and email between age groups, projects and organizational job roles. These findings can be used by organizations to identify areas for improving technology adoption, to improve awareness regarding differences in communication approaches, and to consider the need for enhanced training and communications.

Limitations

Although a large number of participants completed the survey, limitations involving this research must be considered. Since the

research was conducted at a single company, it is not possible to generalize the results to a larger population. Also, although participants recorded their usage, actual volume information was not gathered for anything other than email activity. Lastly, it is likely that other variables such as culture, trust, geography, project team, and work activity, may have an influence on an individual's response.

With these limitations, opportunities exist for further research. Expanding the number of companies and countries in the analysis would help to confirm the findings from this study and to gain new insights. Additionally, exploring the impact of security/privacy concerns, and legal systems on an individual's usage may be helpful in interpreting usage differences between groups. Lastly, research directed at gaining a more detailed understanding of how social networking tools are used to support business and personal needs, can be used to develop new approaches for improving the adoption of the technology.

7. REFERENCES

- Agarwal, N., & Liu, H. (2008). Blogosphere: Research Issues, Tools and Applications. *ACM-SIGKDD Explorations*, 10(1).
- Anonymous. (2005). Exploding Generation X Myths. *Journal of Accountancy*, 200(2), 38.
- Anonymous. (2007). Why do you read a blog? Survey tracks the blogging behavior of Americans. *Public Relations Tactics*, 14(11), 21.
- Anonymous. (2009). New Study Examines Technology Generation Gap in the Workplace. *Business Wire*.
- Auby, K. (2008). A Boomer's Guide to Communicating with Gen X and Gen Y. *Business Week*(4097), 63.
- Babbie, E. (2007). *The practice of social research* (11th ed.). Belmont, CA: Thomson.
- Bhattacharjee, A., & Sanford, C. (2006). Influence Processes for Information Technology Acceptance: An Elaboration Likelihood Model. *MIS Quarterly*, 30(4), 805.
- Brodin, J. (2008). Enterprise 2.0 generational divide largely a myth, study finds. *Network World (Online)*.
- Carlin, S., Lee, J., Lemons, D., O'Dell, C., & Swift, G. (2008). *The Role of Evolving Technologies: Accelerating Collaboration and Knowledge Transfer*: APQC.
- Davenport, T., & Prusak, L. (1998). *Working Knowledge*. Boston: Harvard Business School Press.
- Frappaolo, C., & Keldsen, D. (2008). *Enterprise 2.0: Agile, Emergent & Integrated*: AIIM.
- Freese, A. (2007). *How Livelink ECM-eDOCS DM Does Email*. Paper presented at the Summit 2007.
- Gareiss, R. (2008). Teleworking drives Web 2.0 applications. *Network World (Online)*.
- Gibson, S. (2006). Veni, Vidi, Wiki; Wikis are spreading virally, especially in recent months, as their benefits become widely recognized. *eWeek*, 23(46), 22.
- Hasan, H., & Pfaff, C. (2006). *The Wiki: a tool to support the activities of the knowledge worker*. Paper presented at the Proceedings at the Transformational Tools for 21st Century
- Hewlett, S. A., Sherbin, L., & Sumberg, K. (2009). How Gen Y & Boomers Will Reshape Your Agenda. *Harvard Business Review*, 87(7), 71.
- Hsu, C.-L., & Lin, J. C.-C. (2008). Acceptance of blog usage: The roles of technology acceptance, social influence and knowledge sharing motivation. *Information & Management*, 45(1), 65.
- Ingram, B (2005). *Locate Smoking Guns Electronically*. Available at www.law.com/special/supplement/e_discovery/smoking_gun.shtml.
- Jones, W. (2008). *Keeping found things found*. Burlington: Morgan Kaufmann Publishers.
- Jones, W., & Teevan, J. (2007). *Personal Information Management*. Seattle: University of Washington Press.
- Lenhart, A. (2009). *Pew Internet Project Data Memo*. Washington, DC: Pew Internet & American Life Project.
- Mullan, E. (2008). The Generational Divide: World of Work Survey Encourages Collaboration. *EContent*, 31(9), 16.

- Nunnally, J.C. (1978). *Psychometric Theory*, McGraw-Hill.
- Prensky, M. (2006). *Don't bother me mom- I'm learning!* St. Paul, MN: Paragon House.
- Shriberg, A. (2009). Effectively Leading and Managing a Virtual Team. *The Business Review, Cambridge*, 12(2), I.
- Totterdale, R. L. (2008). Enterprise content management- A usability study. *Issues in Information Systems*, IX.
- Venkatesh, V., Morris, M. G., Davis, G.B., & Davis, F.D. (2003). User Acceptance of Information Technology: Toward a Unified View. *MIS Quarterly*, 27(3), 425-478.
- Wesner, M., & Miller, T. (2008). Boomers and Millennials Have Much in Common. *Organization Development Journal*, 26(3), 89.
- Yeaton, K. (2008). Recruiting and Managing the 'Why?' Generation: Gen Y. *The CPA Journal*, 78(4), 68.

Appendix A Age Group Statistics

Collaboration Tool	n= 306	Gen Y	Gen X	Boomers	χ^2	df	p
Sharepoint	Use %	84	80	76	5.549	4	0.235
Knowledge Management	Use %	72	83	69	15.212	4	0.004
Clearcase/Clearquest	Use %	39	25	16	13.147	4	0.011
Lotus Notes	Use %	53	45	62	13.868	4	0.008
Shared Folders	Use %	86	83	80	6.081	4	0.193
Interative Messaging (IM)	Use %	96	92	87	5.978	4	0.201
Social Networking Tool	n=306	Gen Y	Gen X	Boomers	χ^2	df	p
Wikis	Use %	51	32	22	15.921	4	0.003
Blogs	Use %	42	37	26	5.031	4	0.284
MySpace	Use %	30	19	9	14.188	4	0.007
Perceived Usefulness	n=306	Gen Y	Gen X	Boomers	χ^2	df	p
Increase my productivity	% Agree	84	71	67	9.41	4	0.052
Improve my performance	% Agree	73	61	60	5.19	4	0.269
Make me more effective	% Agree	75	72	69	1.754	4	0.781
Are useful in my job	% Agree	86	81	69	6.61	4	0.158
Ease of Use	n=306	Gen Y	Gen X	Boomers	χ^2	df	p
Quickly file/categorize cor	% Agree	70	56	62	12.486	6	0.052
Find retrieve content that I	% Agree	72	61	69	12.262	6	0.056
Find/retrieve content other	% Agree	71	60	62	8.461	6	0.206
Email Usage	n=306	Gen Y	Gen X	Boomers	χ^2	df	p
>200	%	3	3	7	25.812	8	0.001
101-200	%	6	17	7			
51-100	%	19	33	33			
21-50	%	45	35	36			
<=20	%	27	12	17			

Appendix B Job Role Statistics

Collaboration Tool	n=304	Non-Mgmt	Mgmt	χ^2	df	p
Sharepoint	%Frequently	75	91	11.694	2	0.003
Knowledge Management	%Frequently	68	87	14.391	2	0.001
Clearcase/Clearquest	%Frequently	27	32	2.615	2	0.271
Lotus Notes	%Frequently	46	58	6.039	2	0.049
Shared Folders	%Frequently	85	82	8.54	2	0.014
Iterative Messaging (IM)	%Frequently	92	94	0.673	2	0.714

Social Networking Tool	n=304	Non-Mgmt	Mgmt	χ^2	df	p
Wikis	%Frequently	42	35	3.826	2	0.148
Blogs	%Frequently	39	36	0.409	2	0.815
MySpace	%Frequently	21	24	0.77	2	0.68

Perceived Usefulness	n=304	Non-Mgmt	Mgmt	χ^2	df	p
Increase my productivity	% Agree	74	77	0.329	2	0.848
Improve my performance	% Agree	65	68	0.375	2	0.829
Make me more effective	% Agree	70	77	2.495	2	0.287
Are useful in my job	% Agree	80	83	1.054	2	0.59

Ease of Use	n=304	Non-Mgmt	Mgmt	χ^2	df	p
Quickly file/categorize content	% Agree	62	63	3.295	3	0.348
Find/retrieve content that I enter	% Agree	65	69	6.579	3	0.087
Find/retrieve content others ente	% Agree	63	67	2.512	3	0.473

Email Usage	n=304	Non-Mgmt	Mgmt	χ^2	df	p
>200	%	2	6	59.644	4	0.001
101-200	%	5	20			
51-100	%	18	40			
21-50	%	47	29			
<=20	%	28	5			

Appendix C Project Statistics

Collaboration Tool	n=306	Proj 1	Proj 2	Proj 3	χ^2	df	p
Sharepoint	Use%	61	92	91	49.5	4	0.001
Knowledge Management	Use%	80	73	76	7.182	4	0.127
Clearcase/Clearquest	Use%	10	13	61	92.153	4	0.001
Lotus Notes	Use%	1	82	70	156.933	4	0.001
Shared Folders	Use%	84	85	83	18.743	4	0.001
Interactive Messaging	Use%	86	98	93	23.655	4	0.001
Social Networking Tool	n=306	Proj 1	Proj 2	Proj 3	χ^2	df	p
Wiki	Use%	35	35	43	2.662	4	0.616
Blog	Use%	37	38	38	1.899	4	0.754
MySpace	Use%	19	14	32	15.83	4	0.003
Perceived Usefulness	n=306	Proj 1	Proj 2	Proj 3	χ^2	df	p
Increase my productivity	% Agree	72	68	85	9.791	4	0.044
Improve my performance	% Agree	61	63	72	3.546	4	0.471
Make me more effective	% Agree	71	70	77	4.173	4	0.383
Are useful in my job	% Agree	78	79	87	5.027	4	0.285
Ease of Use	n=306	Proj 1	Proj 2	Proj 3	χ^2	df	p
Quickly file/categorize content	% Agree	56	66	66	9.79	6	0.134
Find/retrieve content that I entered	% Agree	59	72	69	16.98	6	0.009
Find/retrieve content others entered	% Agree	55	71	68	11.782	6	0.067
Email Usage Received	n=306	Proj 1	Proj 2	Proj 3	χ^2	df	p
>200	%	4	4	3	4.719	8	0.787
101-200	%	11	11	12			
51-100	%	21	33	28			
21-50	%	45	36	37			
<20	%	19	16	20			